

## NORDIC Database, Available Validation Data on PM10/PM2.5





# Ultrafine Particles: Emission Factors / Aerosol Dynamics Modelling (Pohjola et al.)

Title of the study: Influence of aerosol processes in vehicular exhaust plumes •Objectives:

•Importance of various aerosol processes within the distance scale of 200 m from a road

•Evaluation of model predictions with measurements

•Methods:

•MONO32 aerosol process model

•CAR-FMI roadside dispersion model → dispersion factors
•Mobile laboratory van measurements: aerosol number concentrations at various distances from a major road for model evaluations

•Literature: number concentration and chemical composition of emissions





NORPAC, 2nd Workshop, Nov 10,

Mervi Haakana, FMI



### Continues...

•Results:

•In a street scale, atmospheric dispersion is the most important factor regarding both number and mass particulate matter concentrations (e.g. Pohjola et al., 2003)

•The predicted concentrations showed the same dependencies as the measured data (in terms of the distance and the relative concentration values); however, the measured total number concentrations were substantially overpredicted in most cases; (e.g. Pohjola et al., 2004)

•Work to do:

•Particulate matter emissions – no up-to-date emission data of simultaneously measured number concentration and chemical composition  $\rightarrow$  mobile laboratory measurements (#/cm<sup>3</sup>)

•A more detailed study on the dispersion of pollution originated from the two lanes to both directions



#### Local/Urban Scale PM Modelling

No satisfactory emission factors for non-exhaust PM emissions available yet but statistical models for regulatory use are developed:

•  $PM_{10} = a^*NO_x^{tr,e} + b$  (Kukkonen et al. 2001)

a = 0.11	( 3 stations, 3 years in Helsinki)
b = 12 µg/m³	( 3 stations, 3 years in Helsinki)

• 
$$PM_{2.5} = (1 + c + cs_t) PM_{2.5}^{tr,e} + dC_{ion}$$
 (Tiitta et al., 2002,  
Karppinen et al. 2004)  
 $c = 1.81 \pm 0.43$   
 $d = 1.56 \pm 0.27$   
 $cs_t = 0.17 - 0.48$   
 $PM_{2.5}^{tr,e} = PM_{2.5}$  concentration originated from local exhaust emissions

Discussion: In the model, the share of non-exhaust emission (factor c) compared to exhaust emissions is relatively high. However, this is a statistical model for regulatory use, which does not explain the actual emissions.



# **PM<sub>2.5</sub>** Emission Factors for Exhaust Emissions

- •Vehicle emission model for NOx, CO, and PM2.5 (only exhaust!)
- •Based on nationally conducted laboratory measurements (Laurikko, 1998) •Latest update 2003
- •Polynomial or exponential fittings of the average vehicle travel velocity





#### References

- Kukkonen, J., ... (2001). A semi-empirical model for urban PM10 concentrations, concentrations, and its evaluation against data from an urban measurement network. Atm. Env., 35, 4433-4442.
- Laurikko, (1998). On exhaust emissions from petrol-fuelled passenger cars at low ambient temperatures. VTT Publications 348. Technical Research Centre of Finland, Espoo, pp. 210 + 37.
- Pohjola, M A, ... (2003). Modelling of the influence of aerosol processes for the dispersion of vehicular exhaust plumes in street environment. Atm. Env., 37, 339-339-351.
- Pohjola, M., ... (2004). The influence of aerosol processes in vehicular exhaust plumes: model evaluation against the data from a roadside measurement campaign. In: Suppan, P. (Ed.), Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Harmonisation within Atmospheric Dispersion Modelling for Regulatory Purposes, 1–4 June 2004, Garmisch-Partenkirchen, Germany. Vol. 2. pp. 142–146.
- Tiitta, P., ... (2002). Measurements and modelling of PM2.5 concentrations near a a major road in Kuopio, Finland. Atm. Env. 36, 4057-4068.

NORPAC, 2nd Workshop, Nov 10,

Mervi Haakana, FMI